

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/510,974	02/21/2000	Rex Petersen	10001834	1706
22879	7590 03/25/2	03/25/2004 EXAMINER		
	T PACKARD COM	WHITMORE, STACY		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- Un
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/510,974	PETERSEN ET AL.	
xaminer	Art Unit	,
Stacy A Whitmore	2812	
rs on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	ress
	ONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANOF

Advisory Action

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appear

THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE 706.07(f).	the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the backed. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejectance patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the p 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of the NOTE:	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a s canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been consapplication in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or be explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	
	Stacy A Whitmore Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2812

A.M. Part of Paper No. 0304

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant agruments with respect to the Jones reference are not deemed persausive, Jones was introduced as a secondary reference to show the relationship of R values to signal routes. Applicant's remarks that Jones does not teach determining the R values of estimated signal routes is not persausive because Ginnetti disloses the estimation of signal routes, the R values of Jones would have been an obvious improvement to Ginnetti for the reasons as cited in the final rejection.